FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY / SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION - SEE FAR 2.101, 3.104, AND 42.1503

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT REPORT (CPAR)

Construction

Name/Address of Contractor:

Company Name: [redacted]
Division Name: [redacted]
Street Address: [redacted]
City: [redacted]
State/Province: [redacted]
Country: USA
CAGE Code:
DUNS Number: [redacted]
PSC: [redacted]

Evaluation Type: Final
Contract Percent Complete: 100
Period of Performance Being Assessed: [redacted]
Contract Number: [redacted] **Business Sector & Sub-Sector:** Construction
Contracting Office: US ARMY ENGINEER DISTRICT WILMINGTO **Contracting Officer:** ROSALIND SHOEMAKER **Phone Number** [redacted]
Location of Work:
[redacted]
Award Date: [redacted] **Effective Date:** [redacted]
Completion Date: [redacted] **Estimated/Actual Completion Date:** [redacted]
Total Dollar Value: [redacted] **Current Contract Dollar Value:** [redacted]
Complexity: Medium **Termination Type:** None
Competition Type: Full and Open Competition **Contract Type:** Firm Fixed Price
Key Subcontractors and Effort Performed:
DUNS:
Effort:
DUNS:
Effort:
DUNS:
Effort:
Project Number: W912PM19C0003
Project Title:
[redacted]
Contract Effort Description:
[redacted]

Small Business Subcontracting:

Does this contract include a subcontracting plan? No
Date of last Individual Subcontracting Report (ISR) / Summary Subcontracting Report (SSR): N/A

Evaluation Areas	Past Rating	Rating
Quality:	N/A	Very Good
[redacted]		
(1) SAFETY:		Very Good
[redacted]		

Variance (Contract to Date):

Current Cost Variance (%): 9 Variance at Completion (%): 9

Current Schedule Variance (%): 0

Assessing Official Comments:

QUALITY: [redacted] has turned in their QC reports and other project documentation complete and on time. They have provided sufficient information within their reports. The contractor performed all required inspections to the satisfaction of the Government. The Contractor always gave ample notice to the Government for all preparatory and inspections. RATING: Very Good

[redacted]

OTHER AREAS: The contractor held required safety tool box meetings. Safety was also discussed at all preparatory meetings and QC meetings. During Government inspections there were no major safety violations identified and no repeat violations.

[redacted]

RECOMMENDATION:

Given what I know today about the contractor's ability to perform in accordance with this contract or order's most significant requirements, I would recommend them for similar requirements in the future.

[redacted]

[redacted]

Name and Title of Reviewing Official:

Name:

Title:

Organization: